



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,351	03/30/2004	Ralph A. Barresc	END920000071US2 (13677A)	5000
23389	7590	01/12/2007	EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			SMITH, NICHOLAS A	
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300			1742	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530				

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,351	BARRESE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicholas A. Smith	1742

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 11-16, 37 and 38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 11, 12 and 37 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 13-16 and 38 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/30/04</u>	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 11-16 and 37-38 remain for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 11-12 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilson et al. (U.S. 2003/0038035).

4. Claim 11 is rejected because Wilson et al. teach of a method for electroplating a workpiece, comprising:

- an anode (¶ 0041), and a cathode (¶ 0041) for supporting the workpiece, wherein the anode and cathode are immersed in a solution, for generating an electric field emanating from the anode towards the cathode, to generate a corresponding current to deposit an electroplating material on the workpiece during an electroplating process (¶ 0041); and
- a selective anode shield/material flow assembly located between the anode and the cathode, and forming a multitude of adjustable openings, the openings having sizes that are adjustable during the electroplating process

for selectively and controllably adjusting the amount of electric flux passing through the shield/material flow assembly and the distribution of the electroplating material across the workpiece (¶ 0069).

5. Claim 12 is rejected because Wilson et al. teach that the selective shield/material flow assembly includes a first shield/material flow mechanism forming a first series of openings, and a second shield/material flow mechanism forming a second series of openings; and the first and second series of openings form the adjustable openings of the selective shield/material flow assembly (¶'s 0068 to 0070 wherein the diffuser has a first series of openings and the adjustable diaphragm as a second series of openings).

6. Claim 37 is rejected because Wilson et al. teach of a method for depositing material on a work piece comprising:

- a source of depositing material (¶ 0004);
- a transport medium (¶ 0069: "electroprocessing fluid");
- a work piece holder (Fig. 1, numeral 9); at least one work piece supported in said work piece holder and immersed in said transport medium (¶'s 0068 to 0070);
- a selective shield/material flow assembly also immersed in said transport medium, located between said source of depositing material and said work piece holder, said selective shield/material flow assembly forming at least one adjustable opening, said at least one adjustable opening having a size that is adjustable for selectively and controllable adjusting the amount of said depositing material passing through said selective shield/material flow

assembly and the distribution of said depositing material on said at least one work piece (¶'s 0068 to 0070).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 13-16 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The specific feature of means connecting the first and second selective shield material flow mechanisms together for movement relative to each other, and wherein said first and second selective shield material flow mechanisms are moved relative to each other to change the sizes and locations of the adjustable openings.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas A. Smith whose telephone number is (571)-272-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571)-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NAS

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNICAL CENTER